

Errata and addenda for *Preference and Choice*

- p. iv In the entry ‘Fitting-attitude analyses and value-preference symmetry’, for ‘33’ read ‘34’.
- p. 1 In line 28, for ‘The essay argues’ read ‘I argue’.
- p. 7 In line 13, for ‘you credence’ read ‘your credence’.
- p. 7 In footnote 19, for ‘reject’ read ‘rejects’.
- p. 10 In line 4, for ‘Rabinowicz’ read ‘Rabinowicz’s’.
- p. 10 Insert the following note at the end of the footnote 30, ‘Note that VAL is here restricted to states that are causally independent of the options, that is, it is here calculated as $\sum_{s \in S} P(s|x)U(s \wedge x)$, where S is a partitioning of states of the world that are causally independent of the options’.
- p. 14 In line 11, for ‘objects’ read ‘object’.
- p. 15 In line 18, for ‘heads’ read ‘head’s’.
- p. 17 In line 22, for ‘she’ read ‘he’.
- p. 17 In lines 23 and 25, remove quotes.
- p. 18 In line 8, for ‘calls’ read ‘calls upon’.
- p. 18 In line 9, for ‘the’ read ‘that’.
- p. 18 In line 20, for ‘money-pumped’ read ‘money pumped’.
- p. 19 In lines 14–15, for both occurrences of ‘loosing’ read ‘losing’.
- p. 27 In line 30, for ‘like’ read ‘like the’.
- p. 31 In line 6, for ‘\$ 1000’ read ‘\$1,000’.
- p. 33 In lines 13–14, for ‘it is indeterminate whether x and y are equally good’ read ‘it is not determinate that x and y are not equally good’.
- p. 33 In lines 15–16, for ‘it is indeterminate whether the agent is indifferent between x and y ’ read ‘it is not determinate that the agent is not indifferent between x and y ’.
- p. 35 In footnote 80, for ‘p. 32’ read ‘p. 30’.
- p. 37 In line 11, remove comma after ‘At least’.
- p. 37 In line 27, for ‘a’ read ‘an’.
- p. 38 In lines 2–3, exchange dumb quotes for smart quotes.
- p. 38 In line 7, for ‘ x ’ read ‘ y ’.
- p. 38 In line 11, for ‘unidimensional’ read ‘small unidimensional’.
- p. 39 In lines 7–8, for ‘ a is better than c ’ read ‘ b and c are incomparable’.
- p. 39 In line 17, for ‘are no reason’ read ‘is no reason’.
- p. 40 In line 3, for ‘violate’ read ‘imply’.
- p. 40 In lines 4–5, for ‘The approach is also compatible with the account of axiological and preferential parity proposed in Section 5.6.’ read ‘This account of axiological parity is an alternative to the one proposed in Section 5.6.’
- p. 44 In line 7, for ‘(S1)’ read ‘(S2)’.
- p. 53 In lines 6–7, for ‘There is some choice set S such that (i) some significant option can be added to it and (ii) some insignificant option can be added to it.’ read ‘There are choice sets $\{x\}$, $\{y\}$, and $\{x, y\}$ such that x is significant in relation to $\{x, y\}$ and y is insignificant in relation to $\{x, y\}$ ’.
- p. 55 In line 29, for ‘Davidson, David’ read ‘Davidson, Donald’.
- p. 55 In line 30, for ‘140’ read ‘140–160’.
- p. 56 In line 9, for ‘Hooker Hooker’ read ‘Hooker’.
- I, p. 67 In note 7, for ‘2007’ read ‘2007’.
- I, p. 68 In lines 2–3, for ‘you that’ read ‘that you’.
- I, p. 68 In line 3, for ‘the he’ read ‘he’.
- II, p. 252 In line 27, for ‘two’ read ‘three’.
- II, p. 253 In line 2, for ‘ $PP\#$ - or $PI\#$ -preferences’ read ‘ $PP\#$ -, $PI\#$ -, or $IP\#$ -preferences’.
- II, p. 253 In definition of PI^+ -transitivity, remove the space in ‘transitivity :’.
- IV, p. 98 In line 5, for ‘death’ read ‘Death’.
- V, p. 70 In the next-to-last line, remove the comma after ‘however’.
- V, p. 78 In the third-to-last line, for ‘205’ read ‘205–227’.
- pp. 121–122 Move the caption ‘Figure 5: Sets F and G given domain Ω_1 .’ from the bottom of page 121 to the top of page 122.